IN THE MATTER OF	*	BEFORE THE
	*	
Charm City Medicus, LLC	*	MARYLAND MEDICAL
	*	
Respondent	*	CANNABIS COMMISSION
	*	
License No. D-17-00013	*	Case No. 21-00347
	*	

* * * * * * * * * *

PRE-CHARGE CONSENT ORDER

Pursuant to its authority to regulate medical cannabis dispensaries under the Natalie M. LaPrade Medical Cannabis Commission Act (the "Act") codified at Md. Code Ann., Health-Gen. § 13-3301 *et seq.* (2015 Repl. Vol. and 2018 Supp.), the Maryland Medical Cannabis Commission ("Commission") requires that a dispensary owner submit to the Commission notice of intent to transfer an ownership interest in a dispensary license and obtain Commission approval before effectuating the transfer.

The pertinent regulations are set forth at Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR) as follows:

COMAR 10.62.25.08 Transfer of Ownership Interest in a [Dispensary] License.

- A. No ownership interest shall be assignable or transferable unless:
 - (1) The Commission has received notice of the intent of the owner of the interest, or of the estate of the owner of the interest, to transfer or assign an ownership interest in a license to another party;
 - (2) The Commission approves the transfer or assignment;
 - (3) The transferee has paid the required fee specified in COMAR 10.62.35; ...
- B. If the licensee is a business entity with publicly traded stock, §A of this regulation only applies to a proposed transfer of an ownership interest of 5 percent or more.
- C. A transfer or assignment of ownership interest shall be approved if the:
 - (1) Commission receives notice of the intent of the owner of the interest, or of the estate of the owner of the interest, to transfer or assign an ownership interest in a license to another party, in a form prescribed by the Commission;

(2) Proposed transfer does not violate Health-General Article, §§13-3301—13-

3316, Annotated Code of Maryland;

(3) Transferee has paid the required fee specified in COMAR 10.62.35; ...

On or about July 21, 2021, Charm City Medicus, LLC ("Respondent") informed the

Commission of its intention to transfer a 100% ownership interest in its dispensary license to

another entity. Upon review of relevant records, Commission staff discovered that a previously

undisclosed and unapproved transfer of a 3.69% ownership interest in Arcarius, LLC, the 100%

owner of Respondent, had occurred in January 2021.

On October 20, 2021, the parties participated in a pre-charge case resolution conference in

this matter. In lieu of proceeding with the issuance of charges and an evidentiary hearing in this

matter, the parties agreed to the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order as set

forth herein.

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Commission finds as follows:

1. Respondent is a Maryland limited liability company that holds one dispensary

license issued by the Commission, license number D-17-00013.

2. Respondent was originally licensed on December 14, 2017

3. According to documents submitted to the Commission with its application for

licensure, Respondent is 100% owned by Arcarius, LLC. Respondent has developed investors by

offering them direct ownership interests in the form of Class A or Class B membership units in

Arcarius, LLC, which then results in investors holding indirect ownership interests in Respondent.

4. At all times relevant herein, Respondent did not have publicly traded stock.

5. On or about July 21, 2021, Respondent informed the Commission of its intent to

transfer its ownership interests to Vireo of Charm City, LLC., a wholly owned subsidiary of Vireo

Health, Inc., which is, in turn, wholly owned by Goodness Growth Holdings, Inc., a publicly traded

Canadian company.

6. In reviewing documents relevant to Respondent's ownership interests, Commission

staff discovered inconsistencies, and questions arose about the current beneficial owners of

Respondent. Thereafter, Commission staff initiated an investigation.

7. The Commission's investigation revealed that Respondent's reporting to the

Commission was inconsistent. Ownership documents indicate that Arcarius, LLC owns 100% of

the entity. However, annual reporting documents submitted by Respondent reflected multiple

individuals having beneficial ownership interests in Respondent.

8. Respondent asserts it had mistakenly reported the owners of Arcarius, LLC rather

than the owner of Respondent, which is Arcarius, LLC. Based on review of the tax returns,

Arcarius, LLC owns 100% of Respondent and as noted above, there are multiple owners of

Arcarius, LLC.

9. Respondent also submitted inconsistent cap tables disclosing its ownership

interests. Those inconsistent cap tables mistakenly reflected the owners of Arcarius, LLC rather

than the 100% owner of Respondent, which is Arcarius, LLC.

10. Ultimately, Commission staff learned that in January of 2021, Respondent had

transferred 4,000 Class A shares of Arcarius, LLC from Bryan Hill to The Jay Dackman Family

Trust but had failed to notify the Commission of its intent to transfer or assign those ownership

interests in Arcarius, LLC. Thus, the Commission did not approve this transfer of an ownership

interest in Arcarius, LLC from Bryan Hill to The Jay Dackman Family Trust.

A transfer of ownership interest request form seeking retroactive approval of this 11.

transfer was submitted to the Commission on September 28, 2021, and the required transfer fee

was paid on October 6, 2021.

MITIGATING FACTORS

12. The Commission's most recent unscheduled inspection of Respondent's dispensary

revealed no potential violations.

13. Respondent has cooperated with the Commission's investigation of the facts set

forth herein.

14. Respondent's conduct with regard to the relevant facts did not create any risk to the

health or safety of any medical cannabis patient or registered agent.

15. Respondent's conduct with regard to the relevant facts did not create a risk of

diversion of medical cannabis.

16. The transfer was with respect to Arcarius, LLC and not with respect to the

Respondent, which is the license holder.

Here, there was an indirect ownership interest in the license holder that was 17.

transferred and the ownership interest that was transferred was less than 5%.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

18. Respondent failed to provide notice to or obtain approval from the Commission

before an ownership interest in Arcarius, LLC, Respondent's 100% owner, was transferred from

Consent Order

Charm City Medicus, LLC

Case No.: 21-00347

Page 5 of 7

Bryan Hill to The Jay Dackman Family Trust in January 2021, in violation of the applicable

provisions of COMAR 10.62.25.08.

ORDER

Based on the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law by the Commission, it is

hereby

ORDERED that within TEN (10) DAYS of the effective date of this Order, the

Respondent shall pay a penalty in the amount of \$2,500.00 for its unapproved transfer of interest

in Respondent's license directly to the Commission's Compassionate Use Fund;

ORDERED that within TEN (10) DAYS of the effective date of this Order, the

Respondent shall receive the Commission's retroactive approval of the transfer of interest which

occurred in January 2021;

ORDERED that Respondent shall incur all costs associated with this Consent Order; and

it is further,

ORDERED that this Consent Order is a **PUBLIC DOCUMENT** pursuant to Md. Code

Ann., Gen. Prov. §§ 4-401 et seq. (2014).

11/5/21 Date

Brian Lopez

Commission Chair

Consent Order

Charm City Medicus, LLC

Page 6 of 7

Case No.: 21-00347

CONSENT

I, Bour Hue, am the Posision / Cto of Charm City Medicus,

LLC, and have legal authority to enter into this agreement on behalf of Charm City Medicus, LLC

(hereinafter "Respondent"). Respondent acknowledges that it has had the opportunity to seek

advice of counsel in this matter. By this Consent, Respondent agrees and accepts to be bound by

this Consent Order and its conditions and restrictions. Respondent waives any rights it may have

had to contest the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law.

Respondent acknowledges the validity of this Consent Order as if entered into after the

conclusion of a formal evidentiary hearing in which the Respondents would have had the right to

counsel, to confront witnesses, to give testimony, to call witnesses on its own behalf, and to all

other substantive and procedural protections as provided by law. Respondent acknowledges the

legal authority and the jurisdiction of the Commission to initiate these proceedings and to issue

and enforce this Consent Order. Respondent also affirms that it is waiving its right to appeal any

adverse ruling of the Commission that might have followed any such hearing.

I sign this Consent Order with authority on behalf of Respondent after having had the

opportunity to consult counsel, without reservation, and I fully understand and comprehend the

language, meaning and terms of this Consent Order. I voluntarily sign this Consent Order and

understand its meaning and effect.

11/62/2021

[Signature]

[Print name, title]

Representative for Respondent

Consent Order Charm City Medicus, LLC Case No.: 21-00347

Page 7 of 7

NOTARY

STATE OF MANAGED STATE OF
CITY/COUNTY OF: Baltimore
I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this day of <u>Overnour</u> 2021, before
me, a Notary Public of the State and County aforesaid, personally appeared Bruan Hill,
on behalf of Alaxis Frammeyer , and gave oath in due
form of law that the foregoing Consent Order was his voluntary act and deed.
AS WITNESS, my hand and Notary Seal.
Notary Public Notary
My commission expires: 11/23/2024